POLICY BRIEF Globally responsible, locally responsive







The Glasgow Climate Change Conference (COP26) emphasizes e.g. 1) the urgency of protecting nature, including forests, water landscapes and marine ecosystems and 2) the rights of local communities and indigenous people concerning the knowledge of and living in harmony with nature. In line with COP26 outcomes, we call for collaborative approaches and co-creation of knowledge between state and regional administration, science and technology, local actors, and civil society based on contextual understanding to mitigate and adapt to climate change.

Based on our research findings and experience-based evidence, we propose the following three policy recommendations:

- 1. The collaborative approach of everyday adaptation to climate change should be considered as a pathway to adapt to climate vulnerabilities. Collaborative approaches include deliberative and inclusive local processes, co-creation of knowledge with local communities and resource sharing related to legitimacy, funding, facilities and information flow in societies and local communities. The development of empoweringplatformstoimproveinformation exchange is needed. These platforms should recognize indigenous knowledge and cultural norms.
- Voluntary and mandatory sustainability certification schemes of commodities and management practices should be based on collaborative practices between actors and should be supported through formal and informal governance practices. For example, certification standards and environmental impact assessments need to address injustices and how environmental impacts intertwine e.g. with land grabbing, indigenous rights and local needs.
- The complexity of the problems related to climate change needs a holistic approach towards landscapes, which attempts to reconcile conflicting land-use interests and harmonize the needs of people and The collaborative landscape nature. planning approach should be inclusive through involving actors from different levels, sectors, and institutions (such governments, corporate markets, NGOs, religious institutions, local communities) as well as bridging the gap between sectors.

These types of collaborative approaches should be facilitated and need allocation of resources. The decision-making processes should be guided by the principles of justice – including for indigenous and local communities as well as for the environment and non-human species, which all intertwine in the landscape and support of life.

POLICY BRIEFGlobally responsible, locally responsive







Since 2012, our research on natural resource governance in Finland, Russia, Portugal, Indonesia, Tanzania and Mexico has paid particular attention to relationships between multi-level actors, public participation, and natural resource governance strategies and interventions.

University of Eastern Finland, Finland

Irmeli Mustalahti Anna Mustonen Denis Dobrynin Ida Herdieckerhoff Antti Erkkilä Hanna Laako

University of Lisbon, Portugal

Rosário Oliveira

College of Business Education, Tanzania

Ubaldus J. Tumaini Edda Tandi Lwoga

The Law School of Tanzania, Dar es Salaam Tanzania

Aristarik H. Maro

Universitas Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Rijal Ramdani Eko Priyo Purnomo Agustiyara Aulia Nurkasiwi Aqil Teguh Fathani

Indonesian Palm Oil Association (GAPKI), Indonesia

Agam Faturrochman

Muhammadiyah Environmental Council, Indonesia

Mohammad Nurcholis

George Mason University, United State of America (USA)Kristina van Dexter

Responsive Natural Resources Governance (RNRG)
research group is funded by the Academy of Finland and the Finnish Strategic Research Council
irmeli.mustalahti(at)uef.fi

https://sites.uef.fi/responsive-natural-resources-governance/













